UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/647,208	08/26/2003	Ryoji Watanabe	116938	1893	
	25944 7590 08/12/2008 OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 320850			GETANEH, MESFIN S		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2625		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/12/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Intonviou Summany	10/647,208	WATANABE ET AL.	
Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MARK K. ZIMMERMAN	2625	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTC	personnel):		
(1) <u>MARK K. ZIMMERMAN</u> .	(3) <u>Moshe Wilensky</u> .		
(2) <u>Mesfin Getaneh</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>08/07/2008</u> .			
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2) applicant's representativ	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)∐ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>All</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed:			
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached.	g)∏ was not reached. h)⊠ l	N/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative discussed clararifications on the last office action. Examinating printing or merging multiple images but reading images. A considered functional language and not given patentable with claim structures. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amenallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE. INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPULY OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE SUBSTANC	ve discussed how examiner in er clarifies that claim 1 was not and Examiner clarified that the reight. Examiner also pointed of discourage disc	terpretate the clast interpreating to the type of data is sout that Terarua to the greed would rend would render the E SUBSTANCE (by been filed, APPY DAYS FROM TOWNICHEVER IS	ims and be N-up tored is eaches the er the claims claims OF THE LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO
Everying Note: Vou recent signs this forms well-so it is	/Mark Zimmerman/ SPE 262		
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	Examiner's signature, if requ	n eu	

Application No.

Applicant(s)